

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

The Examiner's continued attention to the present application is noted with appreciation

On page 2 of the Office Action dated January 25, 2007, the Examiner rejected claims 1-3, 7-9, 12-15, 19-20, 23, 34-35, 46-52, and 55 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,261,320 to Walsh et al. As many of the claims listed in the rejection are no longer pending, Applicants confirmed with the Examiner that Applicants are not required to respond to this rejection, as it is a typographical error.

On page 3 of the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1, 9, 13-15, 19, 46, and 48-51 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,261,320 to Walsh et al. in view of U.S. Patent Application No. 2002/0153178 to Limonius.

On page 3 of the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 1, 4-8, 13-19, 45-46, and 48-51 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 4,553,037 to Veazey in view of Walsh et al. and Limonius.

On page 4 of the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claims 17-18, 36, and 54 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Veazey in view of Walsh et al and Limonius and further in view of U.S. Patent Application No. 2006/0054329 to Springett.

The Examiner has essentially repeated his rejections from the previous Office Action by including Limonius in each of his earlier rejections and stated that it was obvious to combine the previously cited references with the electric automobile of Limonius.

As Applicants have noted previously, the Walsh et al. reference discloses a multi-transport solar energy system that is removably attached to a trailer or the like. The Veazey reference discloses a solar breeze power package and saucer ship that includes a Darrieus windmill 21. The Springett reference discloses a portable computer classroom that discusses the use of a satellite communication system.

The newly cited Limonius reference discloses an automobile having an electric motor and various means of regenerating auxiliary power for the vehicle.

Applicants respectfully traverse the Examiner's rejections of claims 1 and 46. The Walsh et al. reference discloses a multi-transport solar energy system that generates electricity from a stationary position. The Limonius electric vehicle generates electricity for the purpose of providing electrical power

to the vehicle's electrical motor. There is no motivation to combine these very disparate references because one skilled in the art would not look to the regenerative auxiliary electrical power generating systems of the electric vehicle shown in Limonius to provide teaching for and thereafter combine with the modular solar energy system shown in Walsh et al. into the electrical systems of a portable power assembly of the present invention. There is thus no motivation or suggestion to combine the references as suggested by the Examiner.

The portable power assembly of the present invention includes a solar energy power system having at least one solar panel, a back-up generator, a fuel storage container, and batteries. None of the cited references show or suggest, nor would it have been obvious to combine the references as noted above, such a portable power assembly or a method for providing portable, renewable energy as defined by Applicant's claims.

Claims 4-6, 7, 8, 13-19, 36, 45, 47-49, 51, and 54-55 each depend, either directly or indirectly from claims 1 or 46 and are therefore believed to be allowable.

Claims 56-59 were added to clarify that the wind energy system and the communication systems, respectively, of the present invention are erectable. No new matter is added by these claims. None of the cited references show or suggest such a portable power assembly or method for providing portable, renewable energy as defined in these claims.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that all grounds of rejection and objection have been avoided and/or traversed. It is believed that the case is now in condition for allowance and same is respectfully requested.

If any issues remain, or if the Examiner believes that prosecution of this application might be expedited by discussion of the issues, the Examiner is cordially invited to telephone the undersigned attorney for Applicant at the telephone number listed below.

Authorization is given to charge payment of any additional fees required, or credit any overpayment, to Deposit Acct. 13-4213.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: May 11, 2007

By: 
Michael L. Flynn, Reg. No. 47,586

PEACOCK MYERS, P.C.
Attorneys for Applicant
P.O. Box 26927
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87125-6927
Telephone: (505) 998-1500
Facsimile: (505) 243-2542

Customer No. 005179

G:\A-CLIENTS\Sacred Power\PAT\10-725671\Portable Power System_'671_OA Resp_Apr_2007.doc